FILED 2013 FEB 14 AM 10: 54 ANDRÉ BIROTTE, JR. United States Attorney CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST, OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES SANDRA R. BROWN (SBN 157446) Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Tax Division BY:_ 300 North Los Angeles Street Federal Building, Room 7211 Los Angeles, California Telephone: (213) 894-5810 Facsimile: (213) 894-0115 E-mail: sandra.brown@usdoj.gov JOHN E. SULLIVAN Senior Litigation Counsel (WI Bar No. 1018849) ELIZABETH C. HADDEN Assistant Chief (SBN Bar No. 212986) U.S. Department of Justice, Tax Division 601 D Street, N.W., Room 7017 Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: (202) 514-5189 Facsimile: (202) 514-9623 E-mail: john.e.sullivan@usdoj.gov elizabeth.c.hadden@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT Plaintiff, ZVI SPERLING v. ZVI SPERLING, Defendant. 25

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

1. This constitutes the plea agreement between ZVI SPERLING ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California and the U.S. Department of Justice, Tax Division (collectively the "USAO") in the above1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

27.

captioned case. This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative or regulatory authorities and is subject to the approval of the Department of Justice, Tax Division.

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

- 2. Defendant agrees to:
- a) Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and at the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, to appear and plead guilty to a one count Information charging a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 in the form attached to this agreement or a substantially similar form.
 - b) Not to contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c) Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing factors contained in this agreement.
- d) Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e) Not commit any crime; however, offenses which would be excluded for sentencing purposes under U.S.S.G. § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f) Be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the U.S. Probation Office, and the Court.
- g) Pay the applicable special assessment at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay

and submits a completed financial statement (form OBD-5000) to the USAO prior to sentencing.

- h) Not seek the discharge of any restitution obligation, in whole or in part, in any present or future bankruptcy proceeding.
- 3. Defendant further agrees to cooperate fully with the USAO, the Internal Revenue Service, and, as directed by the USAO, any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority. This cooperation requires defendant to:
- a) Respond truthfully and completely to all questions that may be put to defendant, whether in interviews, before a grand jury, or at any trial or other court proceeding.
- b) Attend all meetings, grand jury sessions, trials or other proceedings at which defendant's presence is requested by the USAO or compelled by subpoena or court order.
- c) Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to matters about which the USAO, or its designee, inquires.
- 4. For purposes of this agreement: (1) "Cooperation Information" shall mean any statements made, or documents, records, tangible evidence, or other information provided, by defendant pursuant to defendant's cooperation under this agreement; and (2) "Plea Information" shall be any statements

made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing and the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement.

3

DEFENDANT'S OTHER OBLIGATIONS

4

5

6

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5. Defendant also agrees:

- a) To cooperate with the IRS in the civil examination, determination, assessment and collection of income taxes related to defendant's 2006 through 2011 income tax returns and any related corporate/entity tax returns, and further agrees not to conceal, transfer, or dissipate funds or property that could be used to satisfy such taxes, penalties and interest.
- b) To sign Closing Agreements with the IRS prior to the time of sentencing for the years 2006 through 2011, correctly reporting income and deductions for these years. Further, if requested to do so by the IRS, provide the IRS with information regarding the years covered by the Closing Agreements, and will make his best efforts to promptly pay all additional taxes, penalties and interest assessed by the IRS as well as any additional amounts determined by the IRS to be owing.
- c) That defendant is liable for the penalty imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 6663, on the taxes set forth in Paragraph 18, plus on the tax on the net income on all funds held in foreign bank accounts or one half of the net income if the account was jointly owned with his brother, for the calendar years 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011. Defendant

agrees that a civil penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6663 may be assessed against him.

- d) To give up any and all objections that could be asserted to the Examination Division of the IRS receiving materials or information obtained during the criminal investigation of this matter, including materials and information obtained through grand jury subpoenas.
- e) That nothing in this agreement shall preclude or bar the IRS from the assessment and/or collection of any additional tax liability, including interest and penalties, determined to be due and owing from defendant by the IRS for 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011.
- f) That, in order to resolve defendant's civil liability for failing to file Reports of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts, Forms TD F 90-22.1, and other foreign information reporting obligations under the United States law, for tax years 2006 through 2011, defendant will pay a fifty percent penalty with respect to the Defendant's one-half interest in the jointly held funds in the undeclared offshore account at Bank A for the one year with the highest balance in the account for the calendar years 2006 through 2011, and agrees to pay this sum of money prior to sentencing to the United States Treasury, through the U.S. Department of Justice, Tax Division.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

6. The USAO agrees to:

- a) Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b) Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing factors contained in this agreement.
- c) At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offense up to and including the time of sentencing, to recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable sentencing guideline offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
- d) Not to further criminally prosecute defendant for any additional violations known to the USAO at the time of the plea, arising out of the information provided by the defendant, and defendant's conduct (i) described in the Information or (ii) described in the statement of facts provided in Attachment A. Defendant understands that the USAO is free to prosecute defendant for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after the date of this agreement. Defendant understands that at the time of sentencing the Court, may consider any relevant conduct related to the crime(s) set forth herein in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed after consideration of the Sentencing

Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

- e) Not prosecute Jacob Sperling, Shelly Sperling, and Miri Sperling, for any violations known to the USAO at the time of this plea arising out of the information provided by the defendant, and defendant's conduct (i) described in the Information or (ii) described in the statement of facts provided in Attachment A. Defendant understands that the USAO is free to prosecute the above individuals for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after the date of this agreement.
- 7. The USAO further agrees:

a) Not to offer as evidence in its case-in-chief in the above-captioned case or any other prosecution that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, or in connection with any sentencing proceeding in any case that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, any Cooperation Information. Defendant agrees, however, that the USAO may use both Cooperation Information and Plea Information: (1) to obtain and pursue leads to other evidence, which evidence may be used for any purpose, including any prosecution of defendant, (2) to cross-examine defendant should defendant testify, or to rebut any evidence, argument or representations made by defendant or a witness called by defendant in any trial, sentencing hearing, or other court

5 6

4

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

proceeding, and (3) in any criminal prosecution of defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, or perjury.

- e) Not to use Cooperation Information against defendant at sentencing for the purpose of determining the applicable quideline range including the appropriateness of an upward departure, or the sentence to be imposed, and to recommend to the Court that Cooperation Information not be used in determining the applicable guideline range or the sentence to be imposed. Defendant understands, however, that Cooperation Information will be disclosed to the probation office and the Court, and that the Court may use this information for the purposes set forth in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.8(b) and for determining the sentence to be imposed.
- f) In connection with defendant's sentencing, to bring to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.
- g) If the USAO determines, in its exclusive judgment, that defendant has both complied with defendant's obligations under this agreement and provided substantial assistance to law enforcement in the prosecution or investigation of another ("substantial assistance"), to move the Court pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 to fix an offense level and corresponding quideline range below that otherwise dictated by the sentencing guidelines, and to recommend a sentence within this reduced

range. Defendant understands that he cannot withdraw his plea of guilty if this Office does not file a motion for a downward departure. Defendant further understands that this Office has not made a determination as of this date whether the facts of this case presents circumstances deserving of a downward departure.

DEFENDANT'S UNDERSTANDINGS REGARDING SUBSTANTIAL ASSISTANCE

- 8. Defendant understands the following:
- a) Any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant will subject defendant to prosecution for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury and will constitute a breach by defendant of this agreement.
- b) Nothing in this agreement requires the USAO or any other prosecuting or law enforcement agency to accept any cooperation or assistance that defendant may offer, or to use it in any particular way.
- c) Defendant cannot withdraw defendant's guilty plea if the USAO does not make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 for a reduced guideline range or if the USAO makes such a motion and the Court does not grant it or if the Court grants such a USAO motion but elects to sentence above the reduced range.
- d) At this time the USAO makes no agreement or representation as to whether any cooperation that defendant has provided or intends to provide constitutes substantial

assistance. The decision whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will rest solely within the exclusive judgment of the USAO.

e) The USAO's determination of whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will not depend in any way on whether the government prevails at any trial or court hearing in which defendant testifies or in which the government otherwise presents information resulting from defendant's cooperation.

NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

- 9. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Count One, (a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371) the following must be true:
- a) Beginning in or about 2001 and continuing through in or about 2011, there was an agreement between two or more persons to defraud the United States by impairing, obstructing, and defeating the lawful functions and duties of the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), through deceitful and dishonest means;
- b) Defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing its object and intending to help accomplish it; and
- c) One of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of this offense as described in count One of the Information.

PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

- 10. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371 is: five years imprisonment; a three year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the amount of gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greater; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100. Defendant agrees to pay the special assessment at or before the time of sentencing.
- may order defendant to pay restitution in the form of any additional taxes, interest and penalties that defendant owes to the United States based upon the count of conviction and any relevant conduct; (b) may order defendant to pay any additional fines that defendant owes to the United States; and (c) must order defendant to pay the costs of prosecution, which may be in addition to the statutory maximum fine stated above. The parties agree that the restitution that should be ordered is \$70,918.
- 12. The parties further agree that the tax loss determined for criminal purposes is not binding for civil purposes and is exclusive of civil penalties and interest.
- 13. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant

understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

1.5

- 14. Defendant also understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Defendant further understands that the conviction in this case may subject defendant to various collateral consequences, including but not limited to, deportation, revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case, and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.
- 15. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the felony conviction in this case may subject defendant to removal, also known as deportation, which may, under some circumstances, be mandatory. The Court cannot and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony conviction in this case. Defendant understands that by

entering a guilty plea defendant waives any claim that unexpected immigration consequences may render defendant's guilty plea invalid.

FACTUAL BASIS

16. Defendant and the USAO agree and stipulate to the statement of facts provided in Attachment A which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein. The statement of facts includes facts sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charge described in this plea agreement. It is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to defendant that relate to that conduct. The parties stipulate that the conduct of defendant referred to in Attachment A hereto violated 18 U.S.C. § 371 as charged in Count One of the Information.

SENTENCING FACTORS

17. Defendant understands in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to consider the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1)-(7), including the kinds of sentence and sentencing range established under the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines"). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are only advisory, that defendant cannot have any expectation or receiving a sentence within the Sentencing Guideline range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a)

factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of conviction.

- 18. Defendant and the USAO agree and stipulate to the following applicable sentencing guideline factors under the November 2011 Guideline Sentencing Manual and the Supreme Court holding in <u>United States v. Booker</u>:
 - a) Tax Loss: The relevant actual, probable, or intended tax loss under Section 2T1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines resulting from the offense committed in this case and all relevant conduct is the tax loss associated with defendant's undeclared accounts at Bank A in Israel. The parties agree that the tax loss is more than \$30,000, but less than \$80,000 (exclusive of interest and penalties) for an Offense Level of 14. See U.S.S.G. §§ 2T1.1 and 2T4.1.
 - b) Sophisticated Means: The offense involved sophisticated means, which results in a two-level offense increase. See U.S.S.G. § 2T1.1(b)(2).

The parties agree that the adjustments for "aggravating role" and "mitigating role" under U.S.S.G. §§ 3B1.1 and 3B1.2 are not applicable. Subject to the provisions of the preceding sentence and paragraph 7, defendant and the USAO agree not to

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

seek, arque, or suggest in any way, either orally or in writing, that any other specific offense characteristics, adjustments, or departures relating to the applicable Offense Level be imposed. The USAO will agree to a downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility (and, if applicable, move for an additional level under 3E1.1(b)) only if the conditions set forth in this agreement are met. Defendant agrees, however, that if, after signing this agreement but prior to sentencing, defendant were to commit an act, or the USAO were to discover a previously undiscovered act committed by defendant prior to signing this agreement, which act, in the judgment of the USAO, constituted obstruction of justice withing the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, the USAO would be free to seek the enhancement set forth in that section. Additionally, each party reserves the right to argue, and to dispute the arguments of the other, regarding the applicability of 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a) and other departure factors.

- 19. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.
- 20. The stipulations in this agreement do not bind either the United States Probation Office or the Court. The Court will determine the facts and calculations relevant to sentencing.

 Both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts stipulated to in this agreement by supplying relevant information

to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the calculation of the sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's sentencing calculations are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 18 are consistent with the facts of this case.

21. Defendant understands that if the Court finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those in any stipulation contained in this agreement, defendant cannot, for that reason alone, withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 22. By pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a) The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b) The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c) The right to the assistance of counsel at trial, including, if defendant could not afford an attorney, the right to have the Court appoint one for defendant. In this regard, defendant understands that, despite his plea of guilty, he retains the right to be represented by counsel at every other stage of the proceedings.
- d) The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant quilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

- e) The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f) The right, if defendant wished, to testify on defendant's own behalf and present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to call witnesses and to subpoena those witnesses to testify.
- g) The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.
- h) Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

23. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty plea was involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's conviction on the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL AND COLLATERAL ATTACK

24. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a term of imprisonment within or below the range corresponding to a total offense level of 13 and the criminal history category calculated by the Court, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following: (a) the procedures and calculations used to

determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) the amount and terms of any restitution order, provided it requires payment of no more than \$70,918; (e) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (f) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the standard conditions set forth in General Orders 318, 01-05, and/or 05-02 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §\$ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).

25. The USAO agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above, (b) the Court calculates the offense level to be used for selecting a sentencing range under the Sentencing Guidelines to be 13 or above prior to any departure under U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, and (c) the Court imposes a term of imprisonment within or above the range corresponding to the offense level calculated after any downward departure under U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 and the criminal history category calculated by the Court, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence, with the exception that the USAO reserves the right to appeal the amount of restitution ordered if that amount is less than \$70,918.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

Defendant agrees that if, after entering the guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty plea on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement; and (b) should the USAO choose to pursue any charge or any civil, administrative, or regulatory action that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

RESULT OF VACATUR, REVERSAL OR SET-ASIDE

27. Defendant agrees that if the count of conviction is vacated, reversed, or set aside, both the USAO and defendant will be released from all their obligations under this agreement.

25 //

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 /

27 /

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

28. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

<u>2</u>2

23

24

25

26

27

28

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

- 29. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. example, if defendant knowingly, in an interview, before a grand jury, or at trial, falsely accuses another person of criminal conduct or falsely minimizes defendant's own role, or the role of another, in criminal conduct, defendant will have breached this agreement. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then:
- (a) If defendant has previously entered a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea.

(b) The USAO will be relieved of all its obligations

1 2 u 3 b 4 t 5 w 6 b 6 p 10 c 11 n 12 c 13

- under this agreement; in particular, the USAO: (i) will no longer be bound by any agreements concerning sentencing and will be free to seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crime to which defendant has pleaded guilty; (ii) will no longer be bound by any agreements regarding criminal prosecution, and will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for any crime, including charges that the USAO would otherwise have been obligated not to criminally prosecute pursuant to this agreement; and (iii) will no longer be bound by any agreement regarding the use of Cooperation Information and will be free to use any Cooperation Information in any way in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action.
- c) The USAO will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.
- d) In any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action: (i) defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim that any Cooperation Information was obtained in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled self-incrimination; and (ii) defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any Plea Information, as well as any evidence derived from any

Cooperation Information or any Plea Information, shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that any Cooperation Information, any Plea Information, or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible.

- 30. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge or any civil, administrative, or regulatory action that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
- a) Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.
- b) Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

26 // 27 //

COURT AND PROBATION OFFICE NOT PARTIES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 32. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States Probation Office are not parties to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing factors.
- Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations are not in error, although each party agrees to maintain its views that the calculations in paragraph 18 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.
- 34. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to

the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty plea, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one — not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court — can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

35. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

1/

19 //

1

2

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20 ,

21 //

22 /

23 /

24 /

25 //

ı II

//

27 /

26

28

| | | /

1 PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING 2 The parties agree that this agreement will be 3 considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing 4 as if the entire agreement had been read into the record of the 5 proceeding. 6 AGREED AND ACCEPTED UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 8 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 ANDRÉ BIROTZE, United States Attorney 11 12 13 SANDRA R. BROWN Assistant United States Attorney 14 Chief, Tax Division 1.5 16 17 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TAX DIVISION 18 KATHRYN KENEALLY 19 Assignant Attgrney General 20 21 22 Senior Litigation Counsel ELIZABETH C. HADDEN 23 Assistant Chief 24 25 26 27 28

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading guilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

ZVI SPERLING

<u>Q-1-15</u>

Defendant

2728

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am Zvi Sperling's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's of a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement.

STEVEN TOSCHER Counsel for Defendant Zvi Sperling

Date

ATTACHMENT A

STATEMENT OF FACTS

SPERLING was born and raised in Tel Aviv, Israel. He moved to the United States in 1990 to attend school. He is a United States citizen and also holds an Israeli passport.

SPERLING's brother had moved to the United States years earlier. His brother created a company in 1970, which sells wholesale goods ("the Company"). In 1975, SPERLING invested \$10,000 into the Company and became part owner. Eventually, SPERLING began working full time for the Company. SPERLING now owns 49% of the Company and is the Executive Vice President. SPERLING's brother owns 51% of the Company.

In or about 2001, SPERLING met with Banker 1 from Bank A in Beverly Hills, California. During the meeting, Banker 1 offered SPERLING an opportunity to open a secret bank account at Bank A in Israel.

SPERLING and his brother already had a foreign bank account in China that he used for business expenses because many of the goods were imported from China. The money SPERLING and his brother kept in China was profits from the Company that had not been reported in the United States.

Banker 1 convinced SPERLING to move the money from China into a bank account at Bank A in Israel. SPERLING wanted to keep the money secret from the United States Government and Banker 1 ensured that the money would be secret at Bank A in Israel.

Banker 1 also explained that SPERLING could use the money by borrowing against the money at Bank A in the United States.

SPERLING agreed to move the money to Bank A. SPERLING wire transferred the money from China to Bank A in Israel. The account was opened under an offshore nominee company's name. Banker 1 arranged for an attorney in Israel to create the offshore nominee. The name of the nominee company was "Orot Investments, Limited ("Orot"). Orot means light in Hebrew. The offshore nominee company was an Island of Nevis corporation. The attorney was the authorized signer on the Orot account, however, the attorney needed SPERLING's approval and signature to do anything with the account. The account was opened this way in order to keep it secret and make it harder to detect by the United States Government.

The bank statements for the Orot account at Bank A were sent to the attorney. The attorney then faxed the banks statements to SPERLING in the United States. Also, when the SPERLING traveled to Israel he would visit the attorney and look at his bank statements while he was there.

SPERLING would also visit Bank A in Israel when he was there. He would meet with Banker 2, Banker 3, and Banker 4 at Bank A in Israel. SPERLING met with Banker 2 in Israel and discussed how best to invest the money at Bank A to avoid detection by the United States Government.

In or about 2003, SPERLING and his brother began borrowing

money from Bank A in Los Angeles using the Orot account at Bank A in Israel as collateral. These loans were called "Back to Back Loans." In order to be approved for the back to back loan at Bank A in Los Angeles, SPERLING had to move the money at Bank A in Israel into a Certificate of Deposit ("CD") account at Bank A. SPERLING wanted to use the loans to expand the Comany and related businesses. SPERLING signed most of the back to back loan documents at Bank A in Los Angeles. SPERLING signed these documents in the presence of Bank A bankers in Los Angeles.

SPERLING was told by Banker 5 at Bank A in Los Angeles, CA that SPERLING had to have an account at Bank A in Israel to "pledge" in order to get approved for the back to back loan in Los Angeles, CA. Banker 5 told SPERLING that if he did not pledge the Israeli account at Bank A as collateral for the back to back loan then the loan would not be approved. SPERLING had to sign a pledge document pledging the Israeli Bank A account as collateral as part of the paper work for the back to back loan.

In or about 2006, SPERLING payed down part of the back to back loan in order to reduce the pledge amount from the Orot account at Bank A in Israel. SPERLING provided a check to a loan officer at Bank A in Los Angeles, CA and told the loan officer to make sure that his pledge in Israel got reduced by the amount of the check.

There was about \$4 million in the Orot account in Bank A in Israel. SPERLING never reported the Israeli account on his

federal income tax returns. SPERLING never reported the interest earned on the Orot account. SPERLING also never filed Reports of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts ("FBAR") for the Orot account.

In or about 2008, SPERLING was contacted by a loan officer at Bank A. He was told that the Bank A branch in Los Angeles may be closing and that he needed to pay off all of the back to back loans. SPERLING paid off the back to back loans and moved all of the money from Bank A to Bank B in Israel. SPERLING did not pay off the loans with the money in Israel because he did not want the United States Government to know about the money he had in Israel.

A banker at Bank B while at a meeting at a hotel in Beverly Hills, Los Angeles, California, told SPERLING that Bank B was better able than Bank A to keep the accounts secret.

The banker explained that Bank B could arrange for back to back loan for SPERLING. This banker and a loan officer from the Beverly Hills branch of Bank B arranged for SPERLING to receive a back to back loan from Bank B.

SPERLING never told his accountant about his undeclared accounts at Bank A and Bank B, and failed to report any income from the accounts on his individual income tax returns that were filed with the IRS. For tax years 2005 through 2008, SPERLING failed to report interest income of approximately \$381,563.

÷ 9

. .

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAILING

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. I am employed by the Office of the United States Attorney, Central District of California. My business address is 300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 7211, Los Angeles, California 90012.

On February 14, 2013, I served

PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT ZVI SPERLING

on each person or entity name below by enclosing a copy in an envelope addressed as shown below and placing the envelope for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown below following our ordinary office practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of this office for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. Date of mailing: February 14, 2013

Place of mailing: Los Angeles, California

See attached list

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on: February 14, 2013, Los Angeles, California.

Barbara Le

RE: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. ZVI SPERLING

3.

Service List

Steven Toscher, Esq. Hochman, Salkin, Rettig, Toscher & Perez, P.C. 9150 Wilshire Blvd., Ste 300 Beverly Hills, CA 90212-3414